Docket No.: 2257-0202P At Unit: 2627

Page 9 of 11

<u>REMARKS</u>

Claims 1-11 and 13-19 are pending in the present application. Claim 12 has been

cancelled. Claims 5, 13-15, and 18 have been amended. Claims 1, 13, and 15 are independent

claims. The Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider the rejections in view of the above

amendments and the following remarks.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-4 and 6-11 have been allowed. Further, the Examiner indicated that the subject

matter of claims 13 and 15-19 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form. Although not

conceding the appropriateness of the Examiner's rejections, claims 13, 15, and 18 have been

rewritten in independent form and, thus, are now in condition for allowance.

Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claims 5, 12, and 14 stand rejected under 35 USC § 103(a) as being unpatentable over

U.S. Patent No. 5,319,497 to Wakabayashi et al. (hereafter "Wakabayashi") in view of U.S.

Patent No. 6,044,048 to Oinoue et al. (hereafter "Oinoue"). This rejection has been rendered

moot by the following amendments.

Claim 12 has been canceled without prejudice or disclaimer to the subject matter therein.

Thus, the rejection of claim 12 has been rendered moot.

Furthermore, claims 5 and 14 have been amended to be dependent on allowed claims.

Specifically, amended claim 5 depends on claim 1, which was allowed by the Examiner. Amended

claim 14 now depends on claim 13, which was amended into independent form. Since the Examiner

previously indicated that claim 13 contains allowable subject matter, it is respectfully submitted that

claims 13 and 14 are now in condition for allowance.

DRA/JWR/tmh

9

Application No. 10/021,000

Amendment dated September 19, 2006

After Final Office Action of June 20, 2006

Docket No.: 2257-0202P At Unit: 2627

Page 10 of 11

Neither the cancellation of claim 12, nor the amendments to claims 5 and 14, should be

deemed a concession by Applicants as to the validity of this rejection. These amendments were

made merely in an effort to expedite prosecution in the present application.

Conclusion

Since the remaining patents cited by the Examiner have not been utilized to reject the

claims, but to merely show the state of the art, no comment need be made with respect thereto.

This Amendment After Final should be entered in that it is a full and complete response to

the outstanding Office Action. All of the stated grounds of rejection have been properly

accommodated or rendered moot. Thus, Applicants respectfully request the Examiner to reconsider

and withdraw all presently outstanding rejections and issue a Notice of Allowance in the present

application.

Should the Examiner believe that any outstanding matters remain in the present

application, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact Jason W. Rhodes (Reg. No.

47,305) at the telephone number of the undersigned to discuss the present application in an effort

to expedite prosecution.

DRA/JWR/tmh

10

Application No. 10/021,000 Amendment dated September 19, 2006 After Final Office Action of June 20, 2006 Docket No.: 2257-0202P At Unit: 2627 Page 11 of 11

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 or 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Dated:

SEP 2 0 2006

D. Richard Anderson

Registration No.: 40,439

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

8110 Gatehouse Road

Suite 100 East

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

Attorney for Applicant